

## **DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY**

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20370-5100

CRS

Docket No: 3903-99 21 November 2000



Dear Management

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of Title 10, United States Code, Section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 8 November 2000. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

The Board found that you enlisted in the Marine Corps on 10 January 1964 at age 19. The record reflects that you received nonjudicial punishment and were convicted by a summary courtmartial and a special court-martial. The offenses included unauthorized absences totalling 82 days, purchasing a liberty card and failure to obey a lawful order. Subsequently, a psychiatric evaluation was conducted on 3 February 1966 and found that you had a personality disorder. On 11 March 1966 you received a general discharge by reason of unsuitability due to the diagnosed personality disorder.

Character of service is based, in part, on one's conduct and proficiency averages, both of which are computed from marks assigned during periodic evaluations. Your conduct and proficiency averages were 3.0 and 3.9, respectively. A minimum conduct mark of 4.0 was required for a fully honorable characterization of service at the time of separation.

In its review of your application the Board carefully weighed all

potentially mitigating factors, such as your youth and immaturity and the contention that you believed that the discharge would be medical. However, the Board concluded that these factors were not sufficient to warrant recharacterization of your discharge due to your three disciplinary actions as well as the fact that your conduct average was insufficientlhigh to warrant a fully honorable discharge. In this regard, there is no evidence in your record that you were to be medically discharged. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PFEIFFER Executive Director